

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/283,318	03/31/1999	JACK V. SMITH		9827
75	90 05/05/2003			
JACK V SMITH			EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 156 ARDEN, NC 28704			FOLEY, SHANON A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	24
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/283,318	SMITH, JACK V.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shanon Foley	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 25 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated at the same of this applicated and the same of the same	ation. A proper reply to a h			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amon the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>23-29</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 19-22 and 3	<u>30-32</u> .				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is		roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer					
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Support for the amended phrase, "without the use of HPLC" is found in the third paragraph on page 22, not on page 19, as applicant states on page 4 of the response. This new phrase adds a new limitation to the claims that has not been previously considered. Further consideration would also be required because the scope of the claims have been narrowed to specific types of samples. The claims have also been narrowed to closed transition language, "consisting essentially of". Introduction of this transtional phrase also would require further consideration under 35 USC 112 because it cannot be determined if the phrase is only limiting the components of the reagent solutions or whether the phrase extends to subsequent method steps as well.

JAMES HOUSEL 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600